# On the error-bound in the nonuniform version of Esseen's inequality in the Lp-metric

by Ludwig Paditz

Preprint 88,26

Berlin 1988

Karl-Weierstraß-Institut für Mathematik

# Keywords

Normal approximation
Global central limit theorem
L<sub>p</sub>-metric
Nonuniform estimation
Estimate of constant

AMS Subject classification (1985)

60F05

Summary. The aim of this paper is to investigate the known non-uniform version of ESSEEN's Inequality in the  $L_p$  - metric,  $p \ge 1$ , to get a numerical bound for the appearing constant L, see (2.1). For a long time the results given by several authors constate the impossibility of (2.1) in the most interesting case  $\delta=1$ , because the effect  $L=0(\frac{1}{1-\delta})$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 1-0$ , was observed, where  $2+\delta$ ,  $0<\delta\le 1$ , is the order of the assumed moments of the considered independent random variables  $X_k$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots,n$ .

Again making use of the method of conjugate distributions we improve the well-known technique to show in the most interesting case  $\delta=1$  the finiteness of the absolute constant L and to prove

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((1+|x|^{3-1/p})|P(X_1+X_2+\dots+X_n< xB_n)-\bar{\Phi}(x)|)^p dx\right)^p \le L \cdot L_{3,n},$$

where L  $\leq 127.74 \cdot \sqrt[p]{7.31}$ , p  $\geq 1$ .

In the case  $\delta\epsilon(0,1)$  we only give the analytical structure of L but omit numerical calculations.

Finally an example on normal approximation of sums of  $l_2$ -valued random elements demonstrates the application of the nonuniform mean central limit bounds obtained here.

Zusammenfassung. Das Anliegen dieses Artikels besteht in der Untersuchung einer bekannten Variante der ESSEEN'schen Ungleichung in Form einer ungleichmäßigen Fehlerabschätzung in der Lp-Metrik, p 1, mit dem Ziel, eine numerische Abschätzung für die in der Ungleichung (2.1) auftretende absolute Konstante L zu erhalten. Längere Zeit erweckten die Ergebnisse, die von verschiedenen Autoren angegeben wurden, den Eindruck, daß die Ungleichung (2.1) im interessantesten Fall  $\delta$ =1 nicht möglich wäre, weil auf Grund der geführten Beweisschritte der Einfluß von  $\delta$  auf L in der Form L=0( $\frac{1}{1-\delta}$ ),  $\delta$   $\rightarrow$  1-0, beobachtet wurde, wobei 2+ $\delta$ , 0< $\delta$ 1, die 0rdnung der vorausgesetzten Momente der betrachteten unabhängigen Zufallsgrößen  $X_k$ , k=1,2,...,n , angibt.

Erneut wird die Methode der konjugierten Verteilungen angewendet und die gut bekannte Beweistechnik verbessert, um im interessantesten Fall  $\delta$ =1 die Endlichkeit der absoluten Konstanten L nachzuweisen und um zu zeigen, daß

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((1+|x|^{3-1/p})|P(X_1+X_2+...+X_n< xB_n)-\bar{\phi}(x)|)^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq L \cdot L_{3,n}$$

mit  $L \le 127.74 \cdot \frac{P}{\sqrt{7.31}}$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , gilt.

Im Fall  $\delta \epsilon(0,1)$  wird nur die analytische Struktur von L herausgearbeitet, jedoch ohne numerische Berechnungen.

Schließlich wird mit einem Beispiel zur Normalapproximation von Summen von l2-wertigen Zufallselementen die Anwendung der gewichteten Fehlerabschätzung im globalen zentralen Grenzwertsatz demonstriert.

Резюме. Задача настоящей работи состоит в исследовании одной известной версии неравенства Эссеена в виде неравномерной оценки остаточного члена в метрике пространства  $L_p$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , с целью получить численную оценку для абсолютной постоянной L выступающей в неравенстве (2.1). До настоящего времени результати различных авторов производили впечатление, что получить неравенство (2.1) в самом интересном случае  $\delta = 1$  является невозможным, так как было учтено влияние величины  $\delta$  на постоянной L в виде  $L = 0(\frac{1}{4-\delta})$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 1-0$ .  $\delta = 1$  соответствует случаю существования абсолютного момента 3-его порядка рассмотренных независимых случайных величин  $X_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  Методом сопряженных распределений и улучением известной техники доказывается конечность постоянной L в случае  $\delta = 1$  и неравенство

$$(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((1+|x|^{3-1/p})|P(X_1+X_2+...+X_n < xB_n) - \Phi(x)|)^p dx )^{\frac{1}{p}} \le L \cdot L_{3,n},$$

где  $L \le 127.74 \cdot \sqrt{17.31}$ , р  $\ge 1$ . В случае  $\delta \in (0,1)$  приведется аналитическая структура постоянной L без численных результатов. С помощью одного примера о аппроксимации нормальным распределением для сумм  $1_2$ —значных случайных элементов демонстрируется применение неравномерных оценок в глобальной центральной предельной теореме.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , ...,  $X_n$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $EX_k=0$  and  $E|X_k|^{2+\delta}<\infty$  for some fixed  $\delta \epsilon(0,1]$  and all k.

Write  $F_n(xB_n)=P(\sum_{k=1}^n X_k < xB_n)$ , where  $B_n^2=\sum_{k=1}^n EX_k^2 > 0$ , and  $\Phi(x)=\sum_{k=1}^n X_k < xB_n$ , where  $A_n^2=\sum_{k=1}^n EX_k^2 > 0$ , and  $A_n^2=\sum_{k=1}^n EX_k^2 > 0$ ,

 $(2\pi)^{-1/2}$   $\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-u^2/2} du$ , where  $\frac{1}{2}$  denotes the N(0,1)-distribution function. Let us put  $L_{2+\delta}$ ,  $n=B_n^{-(2+\delta)}$   $\sum_{k=1}^n E|X_k|^{2+\delta}$  the LJAPUNOV -

ratio of the order 2+8.

The well-known ESSEEN Inequality, see PETROV (1975) p. 111, gives a result on the rate of convergence to zero of  $D_n(x)=F_n(xB_n)-\Phi(x)$  in the following manner

(1.1) 
$$\sup_{\mathbf{x}} |\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_1 L_{3,\mathbf{n}}$$
 for all neW,

where C1>0 is an "universal" constant.

In the last 45 years very much efforts has been given to the problem of estimating  $C_1$ . Up to now the best upper bound for  $C_1$  is due to SIGANOV (1982), who has proved that  $C_1 \leq 0.7915$ .

Concerning the case  $0<\delta<1$  it is remarkable that the constant  $C_1$  in (1.1) depends on  $\delta$ , i.e.  $C_1=C_1(\delta)$ , and numerical calculations show that  $C_1(\delta)$  increases if  $\delta$  decreases, see TYSIAK (1983). In this case we have in (1.1) the error—bound  $C_1(\delta)L_{2+\delta,n}$ , see PETROV (1975) p. 115. In the situation  $\delta=0$ , i.e. without additional assumptions about the existence of moments of the order r>2, we know an elementary estimation due to BHATTACHARYA|RAO (1976)

(1.2) 
$$\sup |D_n(x)| \leq C_0,$$

where Co>0 is an "universal" constant, and a result by PADITZ (1986)

(1.3) 
$$\sup_{\mathbf{x}} |D_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})| \le 3.51 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(\frac{X_{k}^{2}}{B_{n}^{2}} \min(1, \frac{|X_{k}|}{B_{n}})),$$

i.e. 3.51 is an upper bound for  $C_1(\delta)$ .

In MAI|THRUM (1987) the numerical estimation  $C_0 \le 0.5409366$  is given.

In contrast to the long history concerning the determination of the constant  $C_1$  in (1.1) there are only some quantitative results on the corresponding constant in the nonuniform version of the ESSEEN Inequality that has been proved by BIKELIS (1966): There exist a constant  $K_1=K_1(\delta)>0$  such that for all new and all x

(1.4) 
$$(1+|x|^{2+\delta})|D_n(x)| \leq K_1 L_{2+\delta}, n$$
,  $0<\delta \leq 1$ .

Up to now the best upper bound for  $K_1(1)$  is due to PADITZ (1987):  $K_1(1) \le 31.935$ .

In the case of identically distributed random variables the estimate  $K_1(1) \le 30.54$  holds, cp. MICHEL (1981).

Concerning the case  $0<\delta<1$  it is remarkable that the constant  $K_1$  decreases if  $\delta$  decreases, see TYSIAK (1983), i.e.  $K_1(1)$  is an upper bound for  $K_1(\delta)$  and thus the case  $\delta=1$  is the most important case.

The main result in PADITZ (1986) is the so-called global form of the central limit theorem, i.e. an error-bound of type (1.3) for the  $L_p$ -norm of  $D_n(x)$ :

(1.5) 
$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D_n(x)|^p dx\right)^p \le 3.51 \frac{p}{M} \sum_{k=1}^n E\left(\frac{x_k^2}{B_n^2} \min(1, \frac{|X_k|}{B_n})\right),$$

where  $M = \frac{33.40}{3.51} = 9.5157$  is an absolute constant.

Concerning the value of M see PADITZ | SARACHMETOV (1988).

# 2. The nonuniform version of ESSEEN's Inequality in the L\_metric

The aim of this paper is to investigate the nonuniform version of ESSEEN's Inequality in the L\_metric, i.e. to investigate the inequality

(2.1) 
$$(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((1+|x|^{2+\delta-1/p})|D_n(x)|)^p dx)^p \le L \cdot L_{2+\delta,n}$$
 for all neW,

where L=L( $\delta$ ,p)>0 is an (unknown) absolute constant, only depending on  $\delta \epsilon(0,1]$  and p>1.

The inequality (2.1) was obtained by MAEJIMA (1978) and AHMAD (1979). Note that from the proofs given in MAEJIMA (1978) or AHMAD (1979) the unboundedness of  $L(\delta,p)$  follows if  $\delta$  tends to 1, i.e.  $L(\delta,p)=O(\frac{1}{1-\delta})$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 1-0$ .

In an earlier paper on error-bounds, see VORONOVA (1972), we could remark the same unwished effect concerning the absolute constant in the error-bound. In an analog situation e.g. for m-dependent random variables, see HEINRICH (1985), also the case  $\delta=1$  is excl-uded because of the above mentioned effect L=0( $\frac{1}{1-\delta}$ ),  $\delta$ ->1-0.

The mean error-bound by SAKOJAN (1975), see also NAGAEV (1979), concerns the case p=1 and  $\delta$ =1 ( $\delta$ >1) and the so-called "one-sided" version, i.e. we have the domain ( $-\infty$ ,  $\infty$ ) of integration in (2.1) to substitute by (0, $\infty$ ). However the proof in SAKOJAN (1975) is unclear in some steps so that we can not use this estimation to compute numerical bounds for L in (2.1).

To get numerical bounds for L we have to improve the known technique of proof given e.g. by TYSIAK (1983) or RYCHLIK (1983). The basic idea in proving nonuniform central limit bounds consists in the partition of the range of x in an appropriate way. Using the generalized partition given in PADITZ (1987) it is possible to get numerical bounds for L in (2.1) for all  $\delta\epsilon(0.1]$ . In the most important case  $\delta=1$  we will prove the numerical bound

In general case  $\delta \epsilon(0,1]$  we only give the analytical structure of L=L( $\delta$ ,p) but omit numerical calculations.

# 3. The partition of the domain of integration

According to PADITZ (1987) we use the generalized function

$$e_{n,\delta,a,\beta}(x) = 2\beta(\log|x|^{2+\delta} - \log(aL_{2+\delta,n}))$$
,

where a>0 and  $\beta>1$  are certain parameters choosing later. Now all numerical estimations are obtained by the help of the following

partition (with K>0):

(3.1) 
$$A_1 = \{x \mid 0 \le x^2 \le K^2\},$$

(3.2) 
$$A_2 = \{x | K^2 \le x^2 \le c_{n,\delta,a,\beta}(x) \}$$
,

(3.3) 
$$A_3 = \{x | e_{n,\delta,a,\beta}(x) \le x^2 < \infty \},$$

i.e. using (1.5) we only have to estimate in (2.1) the parts

$$I_k = \int_{A_k} |x|^{1+\delta} |D_n(x)| dx , k=1,2,3 ,$$

where here we at first consider the case p=1.

We remark that the set A<sub>2</sub> is a so-called domain of moderate x, cp. e.g. the set A in MIRACHMEDOV (1985).

To estimate I, we use the uniform error-bound and get

(3.4) 
$$I_1 \le 2 \int_0^1 x^{1+\delta} C_1(\delta) L_{2+\delta,n} dx = \frac{2}{2+\delta} C_1(\delta) K^{2+\delta} L_{2+\delta,n}$$

Next we consider large x, i.e. xeA3 .

Theorem 2.1. Assume the condition

(3.5) 
$$L_{2+\delta,n} \leq \frac{1}{a} K^{2+\delta} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\beta} K^2)$$
,

where  $K^2$  > (2+ $\delta$ ) $\beta$  . Then for all xeA<sub>3</sub>

(3.6) 
$$|D_n(x)| \le G_n(\frac{1}{2\beta} |x|B_n) +$$

$$aK^{(2+\delta)(\beta-1)}exp(\frac{1}{a}(2\beta)^{2+\delta}-\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}K^2)\frac{L_{2+\delta,n}}{|x|^{(2+\delta)\beta}}$$

where 
$$G_n(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n P(|X_k| > y)$$
.

Proof. Without loss of generality let x>0 be. Obviously  $|D_n(x)| \le \max(1-F_n(xB_n), 1-\overline{\Phi}(x))$ .

Now by the help of the condition  $K^2 > (2+\delta)\beta$ 

$$1-\frac{\pi}{2}(x) \le (2\pi)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{x} e^{-x^2/2} \le \exp(-\log \frac{x^{2+\delta}}{aL_{2+\delta}n} - \frac{\beta-1}{2\beta} x^2) =$$

$$\frac{aL_{2+\delta,n}}{x^{(2+\delta)\beta}} x^{(2+\delta)(\beta-1)} exp(-\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta} x^2) \le \frac{aL_{2+\delta,n}}{x^{(2+\delta)\beta}} K^{(2+\delta)(\beta-1)} .$$

$$exp(-\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta} K^2).$$

With a standard method we estimate  $1-F_n(xB_n)$ :

$$1-F_n(xB_n) \le 1-F_n^y(xB_n) + F_n^y(xB_n)-F_n(xB_n)$$
,

where  $F_n^{y(xB_n)} = P(\sum_{k=1}^n X_k \mathbf{1}\{|X_k| \le y\} < xB_n)$  and  $y = \frac{1}{2\beta} xB_n$ .
Obviously

$$|F_n^y(xB_n) - F_n(xB_n)| \le G_n(y)$$
.

Write  $h = \frac{1}{xB_n} e_{n,\delta,a,\beta}(x)$ . Thus we get

$$1 - F_n^y(xB_n) \le \exp(-hxB_n + \frac{1}{2}h^2B_n^2 + y^{-(2+\delta)}e^{hy} \sum_{k=1}^n E|X_k|^{2+\delta})$$

$$\leq \exp(-c_{n,\delta,a,\beta}(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^{-2}c_{n,\delta,a,\beta}^{2}(x) + \frac{1}{8}(2\beta)^{2+\delta}).$$

Because of the condition (3.5) and xEA3 the estimate

$$1 - F_n^y(xB_n) \le \exp(-\frac{1}{2} c_{n,\delta,a,\beta}(x) + \frac{1}{a}(2\beta)^{2+\delta}) =$$

$$a \exp(\frac{1}{a}(2\beta)^{2+\delta} - \frac{\beta^{-1}}{2\beta} K^2) K^{(2+\delta)(\beta^{-1})} \frac{L_{2+\delta,n}}{x^{(2+\delta)\beta}}$$

follows.

By means of Theorem 2.1 we are able to estimate  $I_{x}$ :

$$(3.7) I_{3} \leq \int_{A_{3}} |x|^{1+\delta} G_{n}(\frac{1}{2\beta}|x|B_{n}) dx + 2aK^{(2+\delta)(\beta-1)} exp(\frac{1}{a}(2\beta)^{2+\delta} - \frac{\beta-1}{2\beta} K^{2}) \int_{K}^{\infty} x^{1+\delta-(2+\delta)\beta} dx L_{2+\delta,n}$$

$$= \int_{A_{3}} |x|^{1+\delta} G_{n}(\frac{1}{2\beta}|x|B_{n}) dx + \frac{2a}{(2+\delta)(\beta-1)} exp(\frac{1}{a}(2\beta)^{2+\delta} - \frac{\beta-1}{2\beta} K^{2}) L_{2+\delta,n}$$

### 4. The domain of moderate x

Suppose again the condition (3.5) of Theorem 2.1. The most difficult problem is the estimation of  $I_2$ . Start with the fundamental inequality, cp. MICHEL (1981) or TYSIAK (1983).

$$(4.1) |D_{n}(x)| \leq G_{n}(\frac{1}{2\beta}|x|B_{n}) + |\prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}(h) - e^{h^{2}B_{n}^{2}/2}|e^{-hxB_{n}} + K + \frac{1}{2\beta} |B_{n}|$$

$$2\exp(h^2B_n^2/2 - hxB_n) \sup_{n} |P(S_n^{\pm}\langle uB_n) - \overline{\Phi}(u - hB_n)|,$$

where 
$$f_k(h) = \mathbb{E} \exp(h\overline{\xi}_k)$$
,  $\overline{\xi}_k = \mathbb{I}_k \mathbb{1}[|\mathbb{X}_k| < y]$ ,  $S_n^{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$  and

 $P(\xi_{k}^{\pm}\langle u) = f_{k}^{-1}(h) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ht} dP(\xi_{k}\langle t) \text{ (method of conjugate distributions)}.$  Here and further on put  $h=(1-\gamma)x/B_{n}$  and  $y=\gamma xB_{n}$ ,  $\gamma=\frac{1}{2\beta}$ .

According to PADITZ (1987) we get

$$(4.2) \mid \prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}(h) - e^{h^{2}B_{n}^{2}/2} \mid e^{-hxB_{n}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}(K)} \alpha_{2}(x) x^{-(2+\delta)} L_{2+\delta, n},$$

where 
$$\alpha_1(K) = 1 - \frac{1}{8} \gamma^{-(2+\delta)} \exp((\gamma(1-\gamma) - \frac{1}{28})K^2)$$
 and  $\alpha_2(x) =$ 

$$\frac{1}{4}(1-\gamma)^{4}a^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)}x^{8}\exp(-\frac{x^{2}}{2\beta}(\frac{2-\delta}{2+\delta}+(1-\gamma^{2})\beta))+\gamma^{-(2+\delta)}\exp(-(1-\gamma)^{2}\cdot x^{2}/2).$$

Thus we obtain

$$(4.3) \int_{A_{2}} |x|^{1+\delta} |\prod_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}(h) = e^{h^{2}B_{n}^{2}/2} |e^{-hxB_{n}} dx \le \frac{2}{\alpha_{1}(K)} (\frac{(1-\gamma)^{4}}{4} e^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{7} exp(\frac{-x^{2}}{2\beta}(\frac{2-\delta}{2+\delta} + (1-\gamma^{2})\beta)) dx + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{0}^{\infty} exp(-\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)^{2}x^{2}) dx) L_{2+\delta,n} \le \frac{\alpha_{1}^{-1}(K)}{1-\gamma} (\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{K} \gamma^{-(2+\delta)} + 24(1-\gamma)^{5}e^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)} (1-\gamma^{2} + \frac{2-\delta}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-4}) e^{-(2+\delta)} e^{-(2+\delta)} + 24(1-\gamma)^{5}e^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)} (1-\gamma^{2} + \frac{2-\delta}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-4}) e^{-(2+\delta)} e^{-(2+\delta)}$$

Next we estimate  $\sup_{u} |P(S_{n}^{\pm}(uB_{n}) - \overline{\phi}(u-hB_{n})|$  and get by the help of the condition  $K^{2} \ge 1.5(2+\delta)\beta$  the inequality, ep. PADITZ (1987), (4.4)  $\sup_{u} |P(S_{n}^{\pm}(uB_{n}) - \overline{\phi}(u-hB_{n})| \le (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\alpha_{11}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi e}}\alpha_{7}^{-1}(K)(\alpha_{4}(x) + u) + \alpha_{5}(x) + \alpha_{12}(x) + 0.7915\alpha_{7}^{-1.5}(K)\alpha_{10}(x) + L_{2+\delta,n}$ ,

 $\alpha_{5}(x) + \alpha_{12}(x) + 0.7915 \alpha_{7}^{-1.5}(K) \alpha_{10}(x) + L_{2+\delta,n},$ where  $\alpha_{4}(x) = \alpha_{3}^{-2}(K) x^{-\delta} \exp(-\frac{2-\delta}{2+\delta} \frac{x^{2}}{2\beta}) a^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)}.$ 

 $((1-\gamma)x^2+\gamma^{-(1+\delta)}e^{-\delta/(2+\delta)}exp((\gamma(1-\gamma)-\frac{\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta})x^2)^2$ ,

 $\alpha_3(K) = 1 - (1-\gamma)\gamma^{-(1+\delta)} \frac{1}{a} K^2 \exp(-\frac{1}{2\beta} K^2)$ 

 $\alpha_{5}(x) = \gamma^{-(2+\delta)} a^{-2/(2+\delta)} x^{-\delta} \exp((\gamma(1-\gamma) - \frac{1}{(2+\delta)\beta})x^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (1-\gamma)^{2} a^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)} x^{4-\delta} \exp(-\frac{2-\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta}x^{2}) ,$ 

 $\alpha_7(K) = 1 - \frac{1}{a} K^{2+\delta} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\beta} K^2) \alpha_6(K)$ ,

 $\alpha_6(K) = \alpha_4(K) + \alpha_5(K) + \alpha_3^{-1}(K)(\gamma K)^{-6} \max(1, \gamma(1-\gamma)K^2)$ 

 $\alpha_{10}(x) = \alpha_3^{-1}(K)(\gamma x)^{1-\delta} \exp(\gamma(1-\gamma)x^2) + \alpha_8(x) + \alpha_9(x)$ ,

 $\alpha_8(x) = 3\alpha_3^{-2}(K)x^{-6}((1-\gamma)a^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)}x^3 \exp(-\frac{2-\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta}x^2) + (1+x^2\gamma(1-\gamma))\gamma^{-(1+\delta)}a^{-2/(2+\delta)}x \exp((\gamma(1-\gamma)-\frac{1}{(2+\delta)\beta})x^2) + \gamma^{-(1+2\delta)}a^{-1}x \exp((2\gamma(1-\gamma)-\frac{1}{2\beta})x^2)),$ 

 $\alpha_9(x) = \alpha_3^{-2.5}(K)a^{-(3-6)/(2+6)}x^{1-6}exp((2.5y(1-y)-\frac{3}{48})x^2).$ 

 $(\gamma^{-6/2}a^{-6/(4+2\delta)} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{5/2}a^{5/(4+2\delta)}exp((\frac{\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta} - \gamma(1-\gamma))x^2))$ 

 $(\gamma^{-(1+\delta)}a^{-\delta/(2+\delta)}+x^2(1-\gamma)\exp((\frac{\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta}-\gamma(1-\gamma))x^2)^2,$   $\alpha_{11}(x)=\alpha_{3}^{-1}(K)\gamma^{-(1+\delta)}x^{-\delta}(\frac{1}{x}\exp(\gamma(1-\gamma)x^2)+(1-\gamma)^2x^3a^{-2/(2+\delta)}.$ 

 $\exp(-\frac{1}{(2+\delta)\beta}x^2))$ 

and finally  $\alpha_{12}(x)=\alpha_3^{-1}(K)(\gamma x)^{-\delta}(\exp(\gamma(1-\gamma)x^2)+\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}x^2a^{-2/(2+\delta)}\exp(\frac{-x^2}{(2+\delta)\beta})).$ 

Using the identity  $\exp(h^2B_n^2/2-hxB_n)=\exp(-(1-\gamma^2)x^2/2)$  we obtain according to (4.3)

$$(4.5) \begin{cases} |x|^{1+\delta} 2 \exp(h^2 B_n^2 / 2^{-h} x B_n) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \alpha_{11}(x) dx \leq \\ \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \gamma^{-(1+\delta)} \alpha_3^{-1}(K) (1+3(1-\gamma)^3 e^{-2/(2+\delta)} (1-\gamma^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2+5}), \end{cases}$$

$$(4.6) \int_{A_2} |x|^{1+\delta} 2 \exp(h^2 B_n^2 / 2 - hx B_n) \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi e}} \alpha_7^{-1} (K) (\alpha_4(x) + \alpha_5(x) + \alpha_{12}(x)) dx$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}} \alpha_7^{-1}(K) (2\alpha_3^{-1}(K)(1-\gamma)^{-2}\gamma^{-6} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}} \alpha_7^{-1}(K) (2\alpha_3^{-1}(K)(1-\gamma)^{-2}\gamma^{-6} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}} \alpha_3^{-2}(K)) (1-\gamma)^2 \alpha_3^{-(2-6)/(2+6)} (1-\gamma^2 + \frac{2-6}{(2+6)\beta})^{-3} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2+6}} \alpha_3^{-2/(2+6)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+6)\beta})^{-1} + \frac{2}{2\alpha_3^{-2}(K)} \frac{1}{a} \gamma^{-(2+26)} ((1-\gamma)(1-3\gamma) + \frac{1}{\beta})^{-1} + \frac{2}{8\alpha_3^{-2}(K)} (1-\gamma)\gamma^{-(1+6)} \alpha_3^{-2/(2+6)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+6)\beta})^{-2} + \frac{2}{3\alpha_3^{-1}(K)} (1-\gamma)\gamma^{-(1+6)} \alpha_3^{-2/(2+6)} (1-\gamma^2 + \frac{2}{(2+6)\beta})^{-2})$$

and

$$(4.7) \int_{A_2} |\mathbf{x}|^{1+\delta} 2 \exp(\mathbf{h}^2 \mathbf{B}_n^2 / 2 - \mathbf{h} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}_n) \ 0.7915 \ \alpha_7^{-1 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) \ \alpha_{10}(\mathbf{x}) \ d\mathbf{x} \le \\ 6 \cdot 0.7915 \sqrt{2\pi}' \alpha_7^{-1 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) \ \alpha_3^{-2 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) \cdot (\alpha_3^{1 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) \gamma^{1-\delta} (1-\gamma)^{-3} \ \frac{1}{3} + \\ \alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) \gamma^{-(1+2\delta)} \ \frac{1}{a} \ ((1-\gamma)(1-3\gamma) + \frac{1}{\beta})^{-1 \cdot 5} + \\ \alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) \gamma^{-(1+\delta)} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-1 \cdot 5} + \\ \frac{1}{3} \gamma^{-(2+2\cdot 5\delta)} \alpha^{-(3+1\cdot 5\delta)/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)(1-4\gamma) + \frac{3}{2\beta})^{-1 \cdot 5} + \\ \frac{1}{6} \gamma^{-(2+1\cdot 5\delta)} \alpha^{-(3+0\cdot 5\delta)/(2+\delta)} (\frac{6+\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta} + (1-\gamma)(1-2\gamma))^{-1 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \alpha^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)} (1-\gamma^2 + \frac{2-\delta}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 2(1-\gamma) \gamma^{-(1+1\cdot 5\delta)} \alpha^{-(3+0\cdot 5\delta)/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)(1-2\gamma) + \frac{6+\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 2(1-\gamma) \gamma^{-(1+1\cdot 5\delta)} \alpha^{-(3+0\cdot 5\delta)/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)(1-2\gamma) + \frac{6+\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 2(1-\gamma) \gamma^{-(1+1\cdot 5\delta)} \alpha^{-(3+0\cdot 5\delta)/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)(1-2\gamma) + \frac{6+\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} ((1-\gamma)^2 + \frac{2}{(2+\delta)\beta})^{-2 \cdot 5} + \\ 3\alpha_3^{0 \cdot 5}(\mathbf{K}) (1-\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} (1-\gamma)^2 (1-2\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} (1-\gamma)^2 (1-2\gamma) \gamma^{-\delta} \alpha^{-2/(2+\delta)} (1-\gamma)^2 (1-2\gamma) \gamma^{-$$

$$\gamma^{-(1+0.56)}(1-\gamma)a^{-(3-0.56)/(2+\delta)}(1-\gamma+\frac{6-\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta})^{-2.5}+$$
 $5\gamma^{-6/2}(1-\gamma)^2a^{-(3-0.56)/(2+\delta)}(1-\gamma+\frac{6-\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta})^{-3.5}+$ 
 $2.5\gamma^{6/2}(1-\gamma)^2a^{-(3-1.56)/(2+\delta)}((1-\gamma)(1+2\gamma)+\frac{6-3\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta})^{-3.5}).$ 

Concerning the choise of the parameters  $\alpha$ , K,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2\beta}$  it is clear that we only consider such values of the parameters that fulfill the conditions  $\alpha_1(K)>0$ ,  $\alpha_3(K)>0$  and  $\alpha_7(K)>0$ . Furthermore we need the technical conditions  $1<\beta \le 1.5$ ,  $K^2 \ge \max(4\beta, 1.5(2+\delta)\beta)$  and

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in A_2} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in A_2} (\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{-(2-\delta)} \mathbf{a}^{-(2-\delta)/(2+\delta)} \exp(-\frac{2-\delta}{(2+\delta)2\beta} \mathbf{x}^2) + \frac{1}{\gamma^{-4}(1-\gamma)^{-2} \mathbf{x}^{-4} \mathbf{a}^{-2/(2+\delta)} \exp((\gamma(1-\gamma) - \frac{1}{(2+\delta)\beta})\mathbf{x}^2))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{6},$$

to get the above mentioned estimate (4.4), cp. PADITZ (1987).

Thus we have by the help of (4.1), (4.3), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) the estimation

$$(4.8) \int_{A_2} |x|^{1+\delta} |D_n(x)| dx \leq \int_{A_2} |x|^{1+\delta} G_n(\frac{1}{2\beta}|x|B_n) + L \cdot L_{2+\delta,n},$$

where L=L(a,K, $\gamma$ , $\beta$ , $\delta$ ) is the sum of the bounds in (4.3), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7).

To illustrate the constant L appearing in (4.8) we consider the case  $\delta=1$  and choose a=17.5604,  $\beta=1.12981$ , i.e.  $\gamma=0.4428$ , and

$$K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(1-\gamma)}} = 2.01322$$
. By means of a BASIC-programme we compute

To compare with the constants appearing in (3.4) and (3.7) we compute in this case  $(\delta=1)$ 

$$(4.10) \ \frac{2}{2+\delta} \ c_1(\delta) \ K^{2+\delta} \le 4.3056$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{2a}{(2+\delta)(\beta-1)} \exp(\frac{1}{a}(2\beta)^{2+\delta} - \frac{\beta-1}{2\beta} K^2) \le 138.493.$$

It remains to estimate the term

$$\left(\int_{A_2} + \int_{A_3} ||x|^{1+\delta} G_n(\frac{1}{2\beta}|x|B_n) dx$$
.

we get the bound

$$(4.12) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{1+\delta} G_n(\frac{1}{2\beta}|x|B_n) dx = \frac{2}{2+\delta} \gamma^{-(2+\delta)} L_{2+\delta,n} ,$$

where in our example

$$\frac{2}{2+\delta} \gamma^{-(2+\delta)} \le 7.6787$$

holds.

Thus we obtain the following result (case  $\delta=1$ ):

(4.13) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{1+\delta} |D_n(x)| dx \le 433.178 L_{2+\delta,n},$$
if we assume the condition (3.5).

# 5. An error bound for large values of L2+6 n

Now we consider the case

(5.1) 
$$L_{2+\delta,n} > \frac{1}{8} K^{2+\delta} \exp(-\frac{1}{28} K^2)$$

and prove

Theorem 5.1. Suppose (5.1), where a>0, K>0,  $\beta$ >1 are appropriate parameters and  $\delta \epsilon (0.1]$ . Then

$$(5.2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{1+\delta} |D_n(x)| dx \leq M \cdot L_{2+\delta,n} ,$$
where  $M = M(a,K,\beta,\delta) = \frac{1}{2+\delta} ((1+\delta)2^{\delta/2} + D_{\delta}) aK^{-(2+\delta)} exp(\frac{1}{2\beta}K^2) +$ 

$$(2+\frac{\delta}{2})^{2+\delta}) \text{ and } D_{\delta} = 2e((2+\frac{\delta}{2})e)^{1+\delta/2} .$$

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma:

Lemma (NAGAEV | PINELIS (1977), see NAGAEV (1979, p. 784)):

If δε(0.1] then

(5.3) 
$$E|B_n^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^n X_k|^{2+\delta} \le D_{\delta} + (2+\frac{\delta}{2})^{2+\delta} L_{2+\delta,n}$$
,

where Ds is the constant given above.

Taking t=2+8 and c=2+ $\frac{\delta}{2}$  in the above mentioned result by NAGAEV|

PINELIS we obtain (5.3). Let us continue the proof of Theorem 5.1.

According to NAGAEV|CEBOTAREV (1978), NAGAEV (1979) or CEBOTAREV

(1979) write

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{1+\delta} |D_{n}(x)| dx \leq \frac{1}{2+\delta} E|B_{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}|^{2+\delta} + 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{1+\delta} (1-\bar{\Phi}(x)) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2+\delta} D_{\delta} + \frac{1}{2+\delta} (2+\frac{\delta}{2})^{2+\delta} L_{2+\delta,n} + \frac{1}{2+\delta} (2\pi)^{-1/2} 2^{(3+\delta)/2} \Gamma(\frac{3+\delta}{2}).$$

Taking into account the inequality (5.1) we obtain (5.2).  $\blacksquare$  To illustrate the constant M in (5.2) we consider the case  $\delta=1$  and choose the same parameters already considered above: a=17.5604,  $\beta=1.12918$  and K=2.01322. A simple calculation leads to the same bound given above in (4.13):

In conclusion we note that the estimations (3.4), (3.7), (4.8) and on the other hand (5.2) give an upper bound of  $I_1+I_2+I_3$ , i.e. for all  $\delta\epsilon(0.1]$ 

$$(5.5) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{1+\delta} |D_{n}(x)| dx \leq \inf_{\substack{a>0, K>0, \beta>1 \\ (\gamma = \frac{1}{2\beta})}} \min(M(a, K, \beta, \delta), \frac{2}{2+\delta} C_{1}(\delta))$$

$$(\gamma = \frac{1}{2\beta})$$

$$K^{2+\delta} + \frac{2a}{(2+\delta)(\beta-1)} \exp(\frac{1}{a}(2\beta)^{2+\delta} - \frac{\beta-1}{2\beta} K^{2}) + \frac{2}{2+\delta} \gamma^{-(2+\delta)} + L(a, K, \gamma, \beta, \delta)) L_{2+\delta, n}$$

$$=: L_{1}(\delta)L_{2+\delta, n}.$$

# 6. The proof of the inequality (2.1)

We estimate the term on the left hand side in (2.1) in the following manner:

$$(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((1+|x|^{2+\delta-1/p})|D_{n}(x)|)^{p}dx)^{p} \leq (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((1+|x|)^{2+\delta-1/p}|D_{n}(x)|)^{p} \cdot dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq (\sup_{\mathbf{x}} ((1+|\mathbf{x}|)^{2+\delta} |\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})|)^{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|\mathbf{x}|)^{1+\delta} |\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq 2^{1+\delta-1/p} (\sup_{\mathbf{x}} (1+|\mathbf{x}|^{2+\delta}) |D_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})|)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|\mathbf{x}|^{1+\delta}) |D_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq 2^{1+\delta-1/p} (K_1(\delta))^{1-1/p} (33.40 + L_1(\delta))^{1/p} L_{2+\delta,n}$$

where we used the inequalities (1.4), (1.5) and (5.5). Remark that in (1.5) for all  $\delta \epsilon (0.1]$ 

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n}} E(\frac{x_{k}^{2}}{B_{n}^{2}} \min(1, \frac{|x_{k}|}{B_{n}})) \leq L_{2+\delta, n}.$$

In the case  $\delta=1$  we obtain by the help of (4.13) and (5.4) the numerical estimation (p $\geq 1$ )

$$2^{1+\delta-1/p}(K_1(\delta))^{1-1/p}(33.40+L_1(\delta))^{1/p} \le 4.2^{-1/p}.31.935^{1-1/p}(33.40+433.178)^{1/p} \le 127.74 \sqrt[p]{7.31}$$
.

# 7. An application to normal approximation of sums of l2-valued random elements

Let  $X_k = (X_{k1}, X_{k2}, \dots) = (X_{kj})_{j=1,2,\dots,\infty}$ ,  $k=1,2,\dots,n$ , be a sequence of independent random elements in the HILBERT space  $1_2$ , i.e.  $E||X_k||^2 = E(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{kj}^2) < \infty$  for all k.

Assume for simplicity that the  $X_k$  ,  $k=1,2,\ldots,n$  , are identically distributed with

(7.1) 
$$EX_1 = 0$$
,  $E||X_1||^2 = 1$  and  $E||X_1||^3 < \infty$ .

Write  $EX_{1j}^2 = \alpha_j^2$ ,  $E|X_{1j}|^3 = \beta_j$  and suppose  $\alpha_j \ge \alpha_{j+1}$  for all j.

Now let  $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ...) = (Z_j)_{j=1,2,...,\infty}$  be a gaussian random element in the space  $l_2$  with EZ=0 and  $EZ_j^2 = \alpha_j^2$  for all j. We introduce the notation

$$S_n = n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k$$
 and  $S_{nj} = n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{kj}$ ,

i.e.  $S_{nj}$  is the j-th coordinate of  $S_n$  .

In the theory of summation of 12-valued random elements the nor-malapproximation is considered e.g. by NAGAEV (CEBOTAREV (1978) or by CEBOTAREV (1979) in the sense of finding of upper bounds for

(7.2) 
$$d_n = \sup_{\mathbf{x}} |P(||S_n|| < \mathbf{x}) - P(||Z|| < \mathbf{x})|$$

To obtain useful central limit bounds for  $d_n$  let us additionally suppose the independence of the coordinates of  $X_k$  and of Z.

In order to formulate a result by NAGAEV | CEBOTAREV (1978) we put

$$d_n^{(m)} = \sup_{x} |P(\frac{m}{j=1} S_{nj}^2 < x) - P(\frac{m}{j=1} Z_j^2 < x)|,$$

i.e. 
$$d_n = d_n^{(\infty)}$$
.

Theorem 7.1 (NAGAEV|ČEBOTAREV (1978)): Let  $X_k$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots,n$ , be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random elements in  $l_2$ , where additionally the coordinates of  $X_k$  are independent. Assume the conditions (7.1), then

(7.3) 
$$d_n \leq c n^{-1/2} \left( \prod_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \right)^{-3/4} \sum_{j=5}^{\infty} \beta_j + d_n^{(4)}$$
,

where C is an absolute constant.

The main idea of the proof of (7.3) consists in the representation of  $d_n$  by the help of the onedimensional variables  $S_{nj}$  and in the estimation of a so-called pseudomoment for  $S_{nj}$ :

(7.4) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 |P(S_{nj} < x\alpha_j) - \bar{\Phi}(x)| dx ,$$

where  $\Phi(x)$  is the N(0,1)-distribution function. From (5.5) it follows that we have in (7.4) the upper bound (7.5) 433.178 n<sup>-1/2</sup>  $\alpha_1^{-3}$   $\beta_1$ .

By the help of (7.5) a numerical estimation of C in (7.3) is possible in the following manner:

(7.6) 0 \ 2.433.178 = 866.356 .

In order to better imagine which role plays the pseudomoment (7.4) in the proof of (7.3) and how to get the numerical bound (7.6) we sketch the proof of (7.3). Write

$$F_{nj}(x) = P(S_{nj}^2 \langle x) \text{ and } G_j(x) = P(Z_j^2 \langle x)$$
.

Taking into account the independence of the coordinates we obtain  $d_n \le d_n^{(m)} + D_n^{(m)}$ ,

where

$$D_n^{(m)} = \sup_{\mathbf{x}} | \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}$$

Let  $f_{nj}$  and  $g_j$  be the characteristic functions of  $F_{nj}$  and  $G_j$ , respectively. Thus by the help of the inversion formulae

(7.7) 
$$D_n^{(m)} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|t|} |\prod_{j=1}^{m} g_j(t)| |\prod_{j=m+1}^{\infty} f_{nj}(t) - \prod_{j=m+1}^{\infty} g_j(t)| dt$$

and furthermore we use the known inequality

$$\left| \prod_{j} f_{nj} - \prod_{j} \varepsilon_{j} \right| \leq \sum_{j} \left| f_{nj} - \varepsilon_{j} \right|$$

According to NAGAEV (CEBOTAREV (1978) we get

(7.8) 
$$|f_{nj}(t)-g_{j}(t)| \le 4|t|^{3/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} |P(S_{nj}(x \alpha_{j})-\overline{\Phi}(x))| dx \alpha_{j}^{3}$$
,

i.e. the pseudomoment (7.4) appears in the bound of  $|f_{nj}-g_j|$ . Now we decompose the domain of integration in (7.7)

$$D_n^{(m)} \le \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{cases} \dots & \text{dt} + \frac{1}{\pi} \end{cases} \dots \text{dt} = I_1 + I_2$$

and use the bounds (7.8) and (7.5) to estimate I<sub>1</sub>:

$$I_1 \le \frac{4}{\pi} 433.178 \text{ n}^{-1/2} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \beta_j \int_{|t| \le T_n} |t|^{1/2} |\prod_{j=1}^m g_j(t)| dt$$
.

On the other hand for I, we get, choosing

$$T_n = \gamma n^{1/3} \left( \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \beta_j \right)^{-2/3}, \gamma > 0,$$

$$I_2 \le \frac{2}{\pi} T_n^{-3/2}$$
 | t|  $| t|^{1/2} | \prod_{j=1}^m g_j(t) | dt$ .

Therefore

$$D_{n}^{(m)} \leq \max(\frac{4}{\pi} 433.178, \frac{2}{\pi} \gamma^{-3/2}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j} 2 \begin{cases} |t|^{1/2}. \\ |j|^{m} g_{j}(t)| dt. \end{cases}$$

Now choosing  $\gamma$  as a solution of the equation

$$\frac{4}{\pi}$$
 433.178 =  $\frac{2}{\pi}$   $\gamma^{-3/2}$ 

and using the following representation of  $|g_{j}(t)|$ 

$$|g_j(t)| = (1+4\alpha_j^4 t^2)^{-1/4}$$

we get in the case m=4 by the help of CAUCHY's inequality

$$\begin{cases} \int_{0}^{\infty} |t|^{1/2} |\prod_{j=1}^{4} g_{j}(t)| dt \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_{j}^{3/4} \right)^{-1} . = 0 \end{cases}$$

The proof shows that the main part consists in the estimation of (5.5) to estimate (7.4). An analogous result of Theorem 7.1 is given by CEBOTAREV (1979) in the case of not necessarily identically distributed random elements in the space  $l_p$ ,  $p \ge 2$ , however without numerical computation of the appearing absolute constant. Numerical estimations will be possible by the help of the here demonstrated technique of the proof.

It remains to remark that in the case p>2 in (5.5) the parameter δ is equal to p-1 and in the bound appears the additional term L<sub>3,n</sub>, cp. CEBOTAREV (1979), RYCHLIK (1983) or MIRACHMEDOV (1985):

 $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^p |D_n(x)| dx \leq L_1(p) (L_{p+1,n} + L_{3,n}), p>2,$  where  $L_1(p)$  is an (unknown) absolute constant.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AHMAD, I.A. (1979). On some L<sub>p</sub> bounds of convergence rates in the central limit theorem. The Fourteenth Annual Conference in Statistics, Computer Science, Operation Research and Mathematics. vol. 2, p. 1 - 8. Cairo, University Press.
- |2| BHATTACHARYA,R.N. | RAO,R.R. (1976). Normal Approximation and Asymptotic Expansions. Wiley. New York.
- |3| BIKELIS, A. (1966). Estimates of the remainder term in the central limit theorem. Litov. Mat. Sb. 6, No.3, 323 346. (in Russian).
- |4| CEBOTAREV, V.I. (1979). Estimates of the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem in lp. Sibirsk. Mat. Zur. 20, No.5, 1099 1116. (in Russian).
- |5| HEINRICH, L. (1985). Nonuniform estimates, moderate and large deviations in the central limit theorem for m-dependent random variables. Math. Nachr. 121, 107 121.
- |6| MAEJIMA, M. (1978). Some L versions for the central limit theorem. Ann. Prob. 6, No. 2, 341 344.
- |7| MAI,K. | THRUM,R. (1987). Approximation of Distribution Functions under a Cumulant Condition. Preprint Nr. 139 (Neue Folge). Humboldt-Univ. Berlin, Sekt. Math.
- [8] MICHEL,R.(1981). On the Constant in the Nonuniform Version of the Berry-Esseen Theorem. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 55, 109 - 117.
- [9] MIRACHMEDOV, S.A. (1985). On the estimate of the remainder term in the central limit theorem and on probabilities of moderate deviations. in "Limit theorems for probability distributions" Taskent, FAN, p. 63 - 79. (in Russian).
- |10| NAGAEV,S.V.| PINELIS,I.F.(1977). Some inequalities for the distribution of sums of independent random variables. Theor.

- Probability Appl. 22, 248 256.
- |11| NAGAEV,S.V. | CEBOTAREV,V.I. (1978). On estimates of the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for random vectors with values in the space 12 . in "Mathematical analysis and related questions of mathematics", Novosibirsk, Nauka, p. 153 182. (in Russian).
- |12| NAGAEV,S.V.(1979). Large deviations of sums of independent random variables. Ann. Prob. 7, No.5, 745 789.
- |13| PADITZ,L.(1986). Über eine globale Fehlerabschätzung im zentralen Grenzwertsatz. Wiss. Z. Hechschule für Verkehrswesen "Friedrich List" Dresden 33. H.2. 399 - 404.
- |14| PADITZ,L.(1987). On the Analytical Structure of the Constant in the Nonuniform Version of the Esseen Inequality. Statistics (submitted).
- |15| PADITZ,L. | SARACHMETOV,S. (1988). A Mean Central Limit Theorem for Multiplicative Systems. Math. Nachr. (in print).
- |16| PETROV, V. V. (1975). Sums of Independent Random Variables.

  Berlin, Akademie-Verl.
- |17| RYCHLIK, Z. (1983). Nonuniform Central Limit Bounds with Applications to Probabilities of Deviations. Teorija verojata. i ee primen. 28, 646 652.
- |18| SAKOJAN,S.K.(1975). Some limit theorems for sums of independent random variables. in Stochastic Processes and Statistical Conclusions, Taskent, FAN, p. 132 140. (in Russian).
- |19| SIGANOV, I.S. (1982). On sharpening of the upper estimate of the constant in the remainder term of the central limit Theorem. in "Problems of stability of stochastic models", Moskva, Institute for systems studies, p. 109 115. (in Russian).
- |20| TYSIAK, W. (1983). Gleichmäßige und nicht-gleichmäßige Berry-Esseen-Abschätzungen. Dissertation, Wuppertal.
- |21| VORONOVA,M.L.(1972). On estimate of the remainder term in the central limit theorem. Vestn. Leningrad. Univ. Nr.19, 9-13. (in Russian).

### Author's address

Dr. L. Paditz Hochschule für Verkehrswesen "Friedrich List" Sektion Mathematik, Rechentechnik und Naturwissenschaften

PSF 103 Dresden DDR - 8072