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#### Abstract

The ClassPad-software by CASIO was introduced in 2003 for a new generation of handheld calculators and simultaneously for the Windows PC (emulator version of the handheld calculator). Meantime several improvements of the software have been appeared. Now we have the ClassPad 400 with the software version 02.01.1000, cp. http://edu.casio.ru/fx-cp400/ or https://edu.casio.com. This nice software is an educational tool in German high schools or universities to support the learning process in mathematics and other fields. In 2013 in Moscow [ref. 6] and 2016 in Yaroslavl [ref. 7] the author has given some talks and lectures on using the ClassPad-software in German schools with the goal of introducing this modern tool in teacher education in some Russian pedagogical universities and later in Russian schools to support the learning process of the students with the newest software. The aim of this paper consists in the demonstration of the ClassPad-software by the help of introducing the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm to solve new nonlinear regression problems. At first it is shown how to write a program in the program language of the ClassPad and further on some practical problems will be considered.


## 1. Nonlinear and Quasilinear Regression

In the ClassPad-software several well-known regression models were implemented, e.g. the logistic regression $y=f(x)=\frac{c}{1+a \cdot e^{-b \cdot x}}$ or the power regression $y=f(x)=a \cdot x^{b}$. The aim is the improvement of these regression models by the help of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm.

### 1.1. Power Regression

The power regression is realized in the CP400 by the help of the quasilinear regression $\ln (y)=\ln (a)+b \cdot \ln (x)$ with $F_{1}(a, b)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\ln \left(y_{i}\right)-\ln (a)-b \cdot \ln \left(x_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \rightarrow \min$ for a given data set $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i=1(1) n}$.

We know that the solution $\left(a_{o}, b_{o}\right)$ of the quasilinear method $\min _{a, b}\left(F_{1}(a, b)\right)=F_{1}\left(a_{o}, b_{o}\right)$ is not the optimal solution for $F_{2}(a, b)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a \cdot x_{i}^{b}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \min [$ ref. 8$]$. We get the optimal solution for $\min _{a, b}\left(F_{2}(a, b)\right)$ with the CP-software by the help of programming the Levenberg-Marquardt-algorithm [ref. 1,3-5,9-13].

### 1.2. General Logistic Regression

We introduce the more general logistic regression model $y=f(x, a, b, c, d)=\frac{c}{1+a \cdot e^{-b \cdot x}}+d$ for the CP-software again by programming the Levenberg-Marquardt-algorithm.

### 1.3. Arctan-Regression

We introduce a new nonlinear regression model, the arctan-regression $y=f(x, a, b, c, d)=a \cdot \arctan (c \cdot(x-b))+d$, for the CP-software again by programming the Levenberg-Marquardt-algorithm.

## 2. Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm

Consider the following task: $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(F\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, a, b\right)\right)^{2} \rightarrow$ min or $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(F\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, a, b, c, d\right)\right)^{2} \rightarrow \min$, where $F(x, y, a, b)=y-a \cdot x^{b}$ or $F(x, y, a, b, c, d)=y-\frac{c}{1+a \cdot e^{-b \cdot x}}-d=y-\frac{c}{1+e^{A-b \cdot x}}-d$ and $F(x, y, a, b, c, d)=y-a \cdot \arctan (c \cdot(x-b))-d$ respectively.
Here we work in the program for the logistic regression with $A=\ln (a)$ to avoid numerical problems.

### 2.1. Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm

Levenberg [ref. 3] and later Marquardt [ref. 5] suggested to use a dumped Gauss-Newton method. Let $\underline{z}$ be the parameter vector $[a, b]$ and $[a, b, c, d]$ or $[A, b, c, d]$ respectively. To get the minimum of the nonlinear function $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(F(x, y, \underline{z}))^{2}$ we consider the following algorithm
by Levenberg and Marquardt:
Choose an initial vector $\underline{z}^{(0)}$ for the parameters $a, b, \ldots$ and an additional initial parameter $\mu_{0}$ for the control of the iteration process $\underline{z}^{(0)}, \underline{z}^{(1)}, \underline{z}^{(2)}, \ldots, \underline{z}^{(k)}, \ldots$.
Now compute the vector $\underline{F}=\underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)=F\left(\right.$ listx, listy, $\left.\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)$, where listx $=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and listy $=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ respectively are the connected pairs of the given data set $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i=1(1) n}$.
Furthermore compute the matrix $\underline{F}^{\prime}=\underline{F}^{\prime}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial \underline{F}}{\partial \underline{z}^{(k)}}\right)=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{\partial F\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, a, b, c, d\right)}{\partial a} & \ldots & \frac{\partial F\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, a, b, c, d\right)}{\partial d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, a, b, c, d\right)}{\partial a} & \ldots & \frac{\partial F\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, a, b, c, d\right)}{\partial d}\end{array}\right)$ e.g.
Now we solve the linear problem for the correction $\underline{s}^{(k)}$ of a given $\underline{z}^{(k)}$ :
$\left\|\binom{\underline{F}^{\prime}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)}{\mu \underline{I}} \cdot \underline{s}^{(k)}+\binom{\underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)}{\underline{O}}\right\|_{2}=\min !$
$\underline{I}$ is the unit matrix and $\underline{O}$ is the zero matrix.
This problem equals to $\left\|\underline{F}^{\prime}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right) \cdot \underline{s}^{(k)}+\underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\mu^{2} \cdot\left\|\underline{s}^{(k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\min !$ where $\mu>0$ is fixed [ref. 1].
The formal solution is
$\underline{s}^{(k)}=-\left(\left(\underline{F}^{\prime}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)\right)^{T} \cdot \underline{F}^{\prime}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)+\mu^{2} \cdot I\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\underline{F}^{\prime}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)\right)^{T} \cdot \underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)$
Now we need an heuristic criterion to control $\mu$ : We have the M-L-correction $\underline{s}^{(k)}=\underline{s}^{(k)}(\mu)$ for a given $\underline{z}^{(k)}$ and define the control parameter
$\mu \varrho_{o}=\frac{\left\|\underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}+\underline{s}^{(k)}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\left\|\underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\underline{F}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right)+\underline{F}^{\prime}\left(\underline{z}^{(k)}\right) \cdot \underline{s}^{(k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}}$. Now choose two bounds $\beta_{o}=0.2$ and $\beta_{1}=0.8$ e.g. and have following decision, [ref. 11]: If $\mu \varrho_{o}<\beta_{0}$ : do not accept $\underline{s}^{(k)}$ and choose a greater $\mu$, say double the value of $\mu$ and calculate $\underline{s}^{(k)}$ again.
If $\beta_{0} \leq \mu \varrho_{o} \leq \beta_{1}$ : do accept $\underline{s}^{(k)}$ and do not change $\mu$ and compute the next $\underline{\underline{s}}^{(k+1)}$ by the help of $\underline{z}^{(\bar{k}+1)}=\underline{z}^{(k)}+\underline{s}^{(k)}$.
If $\mu \varrho_{o}>\beta_{1}$ : do accept $\underline{s}^{(k)}$ and make $\mu$ smaller, say halving the value and compute the next $\underline{s}^{(k+1)}$ by the help of $\underline{z}^{(k+1)}=\underline{z}^{(k)}+\underline{s}^{(k)}$.

### 2.2. ClassPad Program PowRegLM

The program has the syntax PowRegLM(listx,listy,a0,b0, $\mu 0, \mathrm{I}$ ), where here I is the wished number of iterations and $\mathrm{a} 0, \mathrm{~b} 0, \mu 0$ are the initial values. The main steps in the program are [ref. 9,10]:
Define $F(x, y, a, b)=y-a \cdot x^{b}$
Define $r_{1}(x, a, b)=\operatorname{diff}(F(x, y, a, b), a)$
Define $r_{2}(x, a, b)=\operatorname{diff}(F(x, y, a, b), b)$
Now compute the correction $\underline{s}^{(k)}$ by the help of a symmetric matrix SP with the elements SP11, SP12, SP21=SP12, SP22: $\operatorname{approx}\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\left(\operatorname{approx}\left(r_{1}(\operatorname{listx}, a, b)\right)\right)^{2}\right)+\mu^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP} 11$
$\operatorname{approx}\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\left(\operatorname{approx}\left(r_{1}(\right.\right.\right.\right.$ listx, $\left.a, b)\right) \cdot \operatorname{approx}\left(r_{2}(\right.$ listx $\left.\left.\left., a, b)\right)\right)\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP} 12$
$\operatorname{approx}\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\left(\operatorname{approx}\left(r_{2}(\operatorname{listx}, a, b)\right)\right)^{2}\right)+\mu^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP} 22$
$\operatorname{approx}([[\mathrm{SP} 11, \mathrm{SP} 12],[\mathrm{SP} 12, \mathrm{SP} 22]]) \Rightarrow \mathrm{SP}$
We get the correction $\underline{s}^{(k)}$ (=vecs) in the following manner:
$\operatorname{approx}(\mathrm{F}($ listx, listy, $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})) \Rightarrow \mathrm{FA}$
$\operatorname{approx}\left(-S P^{-1} \cdot\left[\left[\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{approx}\left(r_{1}(\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ listx $\left.\left.\left., a, b)\right) \cdot F A\right)\right]$,
$\left.\left.\left[\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{approx}\left(r_{2}(\operatorname{listx}, a, b)\right) \cdot F A\right)\right]\right]\right) \Rightarrow \operatorname{vecs}$
Now we define the $\mu$-control $\mu \varrho_{o}$ :
$\operatorname{vecs}[1,1] \Rightarrow \mathrm{s} 1$
vecs $[2,1] \Rightarrow \mathrm{s} 2$
The nominator of the $\mu$-control $\mu \varrho_{o}$ should be the value $\varepsilon$ :
$\operatorname{approx}\left(\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\mathrm{FA}^{2}\right)-\operatorname{sum}\left(\left(\mathrm{FA}+\mathrm{s} 1 \cdot \operatorname{approx}\left(r_{1}(\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ listx $\left., a, b)\right)+$

$$
\text { s2. } \left.\left.\left.\left.\operatorname{approx}\left(r_{2}(\operatorname{listx}, a, b)\right)\right)^{2}\right)\right)\right) \Rightarrow \varepsilon
$$

If $\operatorname{abs}(\varepsilon)<10^{-12}$ we stop the computation and finish the program.
Now we compute the $\mu$-control value $\mu \varrho_{o}$ and find a decision on using $\underline{z}^{(k)}+\underline{s}^{(k)}(=\mathrm{vecab})$ and $\mu$ :
$\operatorname{approx}\left(\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\mathrm{FA}^{2}\right)-\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{approx}(\mathrm{F}(\text { listx, listy, } \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{s} 1, \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{s} 2))^{2}\right)\right) / \varepsilon\right) \Rightarrow \mu \varrho_{o}$
If $\mu \varrho_{o} \geq 0.2$
Then
$\operatorname{approx}([[\mathrm{a}],[\mathrm{b}]]+\mathrm{vecs}) \Rightarrow$ vecab
$\operatorname{vecab}[1,1] \Rightarrow \mathrm{a}$
$\operatorname{vecab}[2,1] \Rightarrow \mathrm{b}$
Ifend
If $\mu \varrho_{o}>0.8$
Then
$\mu / 2 \Rightarrow \mu$

ElseIf $\mu \varrho_{o}<0.2$
Then
$2 \cdot \mu \Rightarrow \mu$
Ifend
Now we compute the next $\underline{s}^{(k+1)}$ or we finish the program if we have done the wished number $I$ of steps of the iteration. The full text of the program see [ref. 9].
The goodness of fitting of the regression to the given data we check with the Mean Square error MSerr:
$\operatorname{dim}($ listx $) \Rightarrow D$
$\operatorname{approx}\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\mathrm{FA}^{2}\right) /(\mathrm{D}-2)\right) \Rightarrow$ MSerr

### 2.3. ClassPad Programs LogiDReg and ArctDReg

The program LogiDReg has the syntax LogiDReg(listx,listy,A0,b0,c0, $\mathrm{d} 0, \mu 0, \mathrm{I})$ and ArctDReg has the syntax ArctDReg(listx,listy, a0,b0,c0, $\mathrm{d} 0, \mu 0, \mathrm{I})$ respectively, where here again I is the wished number of iterations and a 0 (or A 0 ), $\mathrm{b} 0, \mathrm{c} 0, \mathrm{~d} 0, \mu 0$ are the initial values. The steps in the programs are similar to the program PowRegLM discussed in subsection 2.2. The full text of the program see [ref. 9].

## 3. Examples and Numerical Results

The ClassPad software allows to work in so-called eActivityworksheets. Here both is possible in one worksheet: to write text informations in the text mode and to compute in the calculation mode. The programs must be stored in the so-called library-folder and thus you have acess to these programs. Because the software is a CAS-software all mathematical formulas will be changed in an exact form and the storage resources are overloaded. Therefore we work in the decimal mode and use the approx-command in the program files [ref. 10].

### 3.1. PowRegLM

We discuss the power regression with the following connected data set listx $:=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11\}$ and listy $:=\{0.471,0.515,0.648,0.881$, $1.063,1.431,1.563,1.664,1.050,2.344,2.684\}$, cp [ref. 2]. listx contains the years 2005 upto 2015, i.e. 11 years, and listy contains the financial expenses of Germany for the international climate protection in billion euros.

With the CP-software (power regression, quasilinear) we get with the PowerReg command (syntax: PowerReg listx,listy) followig results:
PowerReg listx,listy
done

DispStat
done
quasilinear Regression:
Power Reg
$y=a \cdot x^{b}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{a}(=\mathrm{aCoef}) & =0.3446765894 \\
\mathrm{~b}(=\mathrm{bCoef}) & =0.7782907682 \\
\mathrm{r}(=\mathrm{rCoef}) & =0.9639981273 \\
\mathrm{r}^{2}\left(=\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{Coef}\right) & =0.9292923894 \\
\mathrm{MSe}(=\mathrm{MSe}) & =0.02843125978
\end{aligned}
$$

In the brackets you see the names of the system variables: aCoef, bCoef, rCoef, $r^{2}$ Coef, MSe.
Thus the quasilinear regression gives the concave function
$y=f(x)=0.3446765894 \cdot x^{0.7782907682} \approx 0.3447 \cdot x^{0.7783}$ however with the L-M-algorithm we get the optimal solution (a convex function)
$y=f(x)=0.217252558351917 \cdot x^{1.02399959902067} \approx 0.2173 \cdot x^{1.024}$.
To get the last result we called the program
PowRegLM(listx,listy,a0,b0, $\mu 0, \mathrm{I}$ ) with following initial parameters:
$\mathrm{a} 0=\mathrm{aCoef}=0.3446765894, \mathrm{~b} 0=\mathrm{bCoef}=0.7782907682, \mu 0=1, \mathrm{I}=15$.
Already after 8 steps the program stops because $\varepsilon=3.15 \mathrm{E}-13$.
Here we get MSerr $=0.01613769519$ in comparision with $\mathrm{MSe}=$ 0.02843125978 of the quasilinear regression [ref. 10].

### 3.2. LogiDReg and ArctDReg

The general logistic regression and arctan-regression respectively we discuss with a real data set $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)_{i=1(1) 50}$, which I got from a teacher of the gymnasium Coswig near to my home town Dresden. The listx: $=\{1,2, \ldots, 50\}$ contains time points (with step 1) and the listy: $=\{3.25,3.35,3.54,3.65,3.74,3.82,3.87,3.94,4,4.06,4.11,4.22,4.22,4.27$, 4.32,4.34,4.39,4.44,4.46,4.52,4.56,4.62,4.7,4.73,4.77,4.82,4.89,4.93,5,5.09, $5.19,5.31,5.47,5.65,6.08,8.33,9.22,9.44,9.61,9.74,9.8,9.88,9.92,9.96,10.01$, 10.05,10.06,10.08,10.1,10.13\} contains chemical data generated during
an experiment in the class room (chemical reaction, pH values). It seems that the general logistic regression is a good statistical model for the given data. Finally the arctan-regression was somewhat better with a smaller mean square error.
We called LogiDReg(listx,listy,A0,b0,c0,d0, $\mu 0, \mathrm{I}$ ) with the initial parameters (from a 2 D -graphic of the regression function with the data plot) $\mathrm{A} 0=20.5, \mathrm{~b} 0=0.58, \mathrm{c} 0=5.3, \mathrm{~d} 0=4.3, \mu 0=1, \mathrm{I}=30$.
After 20 steps the calculation stops because $\varepsilon=0$ (floating-point arithmetic of the ClassPad software with 15 significand digits). We get the regression function
$y=f(x)=\frac{c}{1+a \cdot e^{-b \cdot x}}+d$ with $a=e^{A}=e^{20.4915612033146}=$ $793180367.5, \quad \mathrm{~b}=0.582748352840408, \quad \mathrm{c}=5.82567960085492$, $\mathrm{d}=4.26608128744704$ and MSerr $=0.1766749421$
Now we call ArctDReg(listx, listy,a0,b0,c0,d0, $\mu 0, \mathrm{I}$ ) with the initial parameters (from a 2D-graphic of the regression function with the data plot) $\mathrm{a} 0=2, \mathrm{~b} 0=35.5, \mathrm{c} 0=0.5, \mathrm{~d} 0=7, \mu 0=8, \mathrm{I}=25$.
After 18 steps the calculation stops because $\varepsilon=0$ (floating-point arithmetic of the ClassPad software with 15 significand digits). We get the regression function
$y=f(x)=a \cdot \arctan (c \cdot(x-b))+d$ with $\mathrm{a}=2.07300040263717$, $\mathrm{b}=35.3512340196774, \mathrm{c}=0.496500939636883, \mathrm{~d}=7.2667648481508$ and a somewhat better MSerr $=0.1127910421$ [ref. 10].

## 4. Discussion

The CP-software allows own programming [ref. 9,10], thus it was possible to introduce the L-M-algorithm in the ClassPad. We hope that the CASIO company will implement the L-M-algorithm in the operation system of the calculator with a next update of the software. Thus our students have a nice possibility to make interesting numerical experiments. This will support the learning process.
To open and to check the vcp-file [ref. 10] you have to download the free trial (90 days) of the CP-manager software "ClassPad Manager Subscription for ClassPad II Series v2.01": https://edu.casio.com/products/classroom/cp2m/
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